

IRREDUCIBLE VIRASORO MODULES FROM IRREDUCIBLE WEYL MODULES

RENCAL LU AND KAIMING ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We use Block's results to classify irreducible modules over the differential operator algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, \frac{d}{dt}]$. From this classification and using "the twisting technique" we construct a lot of new irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra. These new irreducible Virasoro modules are generally not weight modules. We determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for two such irreducible Virasoro modules to be isomorphic. Many examples for such irreducible Virasoro modules with different features are provided at the end of the paper. In particular the class of irreducible Virasoro modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ are defined on the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

Keywords: Virasoro algebra, weight module

2000 Math. Subj. Class.: 17B10, 17B20, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively.

Let Vir denote the complex *Virasoro algebra*, that is the Lie algebra with basis $\{c, d_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the Lie bracket defined (for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$) as follows:

$$[d_i, d_j] = (j - i)d_{i+j} + \delta_{i,-j} \frac{i^3 - i}{12} c; \quad [d_i, c] = 0.$$

The algebra Vir is one of the most important Lie algebras both in mathematics and in mathematical physics, see for example [KR, IK] and references therein. The Virasoro algebra theory has been widely used in many physics areas and other mathematical branches, for example, quantum physics [GO], conformal field theory [FMS], Higher-dimensional WZW models [IKUX, IKU], Kac-Moody algebras [K, MoP], vertex algebras [LL], and so on.

The representation theory on the Virasoro algebra has been attracting a lot of attentions from mathematicians and physicists. There are two classical families of simple Harish-Chandra Vir -modules: highest

weight modules (completely described in [FF]) and the so-called intermediate series modules. In [Mt] it is shown that these two families exhaust all simple weight Harish-Chandra modules. In [MZ1] it is even shown that the above modules exhaust all simple weight modules admitting a nonzero finite dimensional weight space.

Various other families of simple Vir-modules were studied in [Zh, OW1, LGZ, FJK, Ya, GLZ, OW2]. These include some simple weight modules with infinite dimensional weight spaces, various versions of Whittaker modules constructed using different tricks. In particular, all the above Whittaker modules and even more were described in a uniform way in [MZ3].

The main purpose of the present paper is to construct new irreducible (non-weight) Virasoro modules. Let us first introduce the algebras we will use.

Let $\mathbb{C}[t]$ be the polynomial (associative) algebra. By ∂ we denote the operator $t \frac{d}{dt}$ on $\mathbb{C}[t]$. We see that $\partial t^i = t^i(i + \partial)$. Then we have the associative algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[t, \partial]$ which is a proper subalgebra of the rank 1 Weyl algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, \frac{d}{dt}]$. Note that $\mathcal{A}$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the 2-dimensional solvable Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathbb{C}d_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1$ subject to $[d_0, d_1] = d_1$. See [Bl, MZ3].

Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, \partial]$ be the Laurent polynomial differential operator algebra. For any nonempty subset S of $\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\mathcal{K}_S$ the associative subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$ generated by ∂ and t^s for all $s \in S$.

Let B be an associative or Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and C be a subspace of B . A module V over B is called C -torsion if there exists a nonzero $f \in C$ such that $fv = 0$ for some nonzero $v \in V$; otherwise V is called C -torsion-free.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2, we use Block's results to classify irreducible modules over the differential operator algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, \frac{d}{dt}]$. In Sect.3, by twisting irreducible modules A over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ we construct a lot of irreducible Virasoro modules A_b for any $b \in \mathbb{C}$ (Theorem 9). And we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for two such irreducible Virasoro modules to be isomorphic (Theorem 12). In Sect.4, we recover some old Virasoro modules and give concrete new examples from such irreducible Virasoro modules with different feature. In particular a class of interesting irreducible Virasoro modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ (See Sect.4.3) are defined on the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x]$. We also compare these irreducible modules A_b with other known irreducible Virasoro modules to prove that they are new (Theorem 17).

2. IRREDUCIBLE MODULES OVER THE ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA $\mathcal{K}$

In this section we will obtain a classification of irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

[G+] Lemma 1. *Let V be any $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -torsion-free irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Then V can be extended into a module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, \frac{d}{dt}]$, i.e., the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on V is a restriction of an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -torsion-free $\mathcal{K}$ -module.*

Proof. Noting that $t \cdot V$ is a submodules of V , and the action of t is injective, we know that the action of t is bijective on V . Then we have the action of t^{-1} on V . Thus V becomes a $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -torsion-free $\mathcal{K}$ -module.

On the other hand, for any $\text{span}[t^s | s \in M_S]$ -torsion-free irreducible $\mathcal{A}_S$ module V , similarly the action of t^s ($s \in S$) is bijective on V . So V is also an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[t^s, t^{-s} | s \in S][\partial] = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}, \partial]$ module which is $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -torsion-free. So V is made into an irreducible $\mathbb{C}[t, \partial]$ module which is $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -torsion-free. $\square$

The classification for all irreducible modules over $\mathcal{A}$ was given in [Bl].

[G] Lemma 2. *Let β be an irreducible element in the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. Then*

$$\mathcal{K}/(\mathcal{K} \cap (\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]\beta))$$

is a $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -torsion-free irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Moreover any $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -torsion-free irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ can be obtained in this way.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is ∂ -simple (i.e., it has no nontrivial ∂ -invariant ideal). The lemma follows from corollary 4.4.1 in [Bl]. $\square$

Remark: From the above two lemmas we know that any $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -torsion-free irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a restriction of a $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -torsion-free irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ which we have the classification as in Lemma 2. But the converse is not true. For example $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is naturally a $\mathcal{K}$ module (∂ acts as derivation) which is $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -torsion-free, while it is not an irreducible $\mathcal{A}$ module. We also remark that it is generally very hard to judge whether an element in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ is irreducible. For example, see Lemma 16.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we can define a $\mathcal{K}$ -module structure on the space $\Omega(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]$, the polynomial algebra in ∂ , by

$$t^i \partial^k = \lambda^i (\partial - i)^k, \partial \partial^k = \partial^{k+1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since t has the only eigenvalue λ on $\Omega(\lambda)$, we see that different λ give non-isomorphic $\mathcal{K}$ -modules.

Actually these are the only irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ on which $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is torsion.

[G] Lemma 3. *Let V be an irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ on which $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is torsion. Then $V \cong \Omega(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. Since $tt^{-1} = 1$ we know that the action of t (and t^{-1}) is bijective on V . Since $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is torsion on V , there exists $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $f(t)v = 0$ for some nonzero $v \in V$. So there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and a nonzero $u \in V$ such that $tu = \lambda u$. We see that $V = \mathbb{C}[\partial]u$. If $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ is torsion on V , there exists $f(\partial) \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$ such that $f(\partial)u = 0$. So there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and a nonzero $w \in V$ such that $\partial w = \mu w$. we must have $V = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]w$. Again since $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is torsion on V , there exists $g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $g(t)w = 0$. So V is a finite dimensional module over $\mathcal{K}$. In particular, V is a finite dimensional module over the Virasoro algebra $\text{Vir} = \text{span}\{t^i \partial : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which is impossible. So we may assume that $V = \mathbb{C}[\partial]$, the action of ∂ is simply multiplication on the left and $t1 = \lambda$. Consequently $t^i \partial^k = \lambda^i (\partial - i)^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $V \cong \Omega(\lambda)$.

It is straightforward to verify that $\Omega(\lambda)$ is a irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. $\square$

Combining the above two lemmas, we obtain a classification for all irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

3. IRREDUCIBLE MODULES OVER THE VIRASORO ALGEBRA

We have the complete classification for irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ in Sect.2. These modules can be considered as modules over the Virasoro algebra Vir since the centerless Virasoro algebra is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}$. In this section we will use irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ and “the twisting technique” to construct irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra Vir with trivial action of the center. We also determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for two such Vir modules to be isomorphic. The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra will be used in the proof. Let us first recall the definition of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra.

The twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is the universal central extension of the Lie algebra $\{f(t)\frac{d}{dt} + g(t) | f, g \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]\}$ of differential operators of order at most one on the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. More precisely, the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with the basis

$$\{d_n, t^n, z_1, z_2, z_3 | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and subject to the Lie bracket

$$(3.1) \quad [d_n, d_m] = (m - n)d_{n+m} + \delta_{n,-m} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} z_1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad [d_n, t^m] = mt^{m+n} + \delta_{n,-m}(n^2 + n)z_2,$$

$$(3.3) \quad [t^n, t^m] = n\delta_{n,-m}z_3,$$

$$(3.4) \quad [\mathcal{L}, z_1] = [\mathcal{L}, z_2] = [\mathcal{L}, z_3] = 0.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has a Virasoro subalgebra Vir with basis $\{d_i, z_1 | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and a Heisenberg subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ with basis $\{t^i, z_3 | i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Let us start with an irreducible module A over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. For any $b \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the action of $\mathcal{L}$ on A by

$$\boxed{2} \quad (3.5) \quad d_n v = (t^n \partial + nb t^n) v, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in A$$

$$\boxed{2.1} \quad (3.6) \quad z_k A = 0, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

while the action of $t^n \in \mathcal{L}$ is the same as $t^n \in \mathcal{K}$ on A . We denote by A_b this module over $\mathcal{L}$. Also A_b is a module over Vir . Now we determine the irreducibility of the Vir modules A_b .

Remark: We do not have $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ in (3.5) as in (2.3) in [LGZ] since the resulting modules for different α can be obtained by changing the module A .

trivial **Lemma 4.** *Let A be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then A_b is an irreducible module over $\mathcal{L}$ for all $b \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The statement in this Lemma is obvious. □

key **Lemma 5.** *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let*

$$(3.7) \quad w_k = -\frac{1}{2}d_{k-1}d_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_{k+1}d_{-1} + d_k d_0 \in U(\text{Vir}).$$

Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in A_b$ we have $w_k v = b(b-1)t^k v$.

Proof. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in A_b$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{k-i}d_i)v &= (t^{k-i}\partial + (k-i)bt^{k-i})(t^i\partial + bit^i)v \\ &= ((t^k\partial^2 + kbt^k\partial) + i(t^k\partial + kb^2t^k) + i^2(b-b^2)t^k)v. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $i = -1, 0, 1$ respectively, we get

$$w_k v = w_k v = b(b-1)t^k v.$$

The lemma follows. □

cor1

Corollary 6. *Let A be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. If $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then A_b is an irreducible Vir module.*

Proof. From Lemma 5, any Vir submodule of A_b is also an $\mathcal{L}$ submodule. Therefore the statement follows by Lemma 4. $\square$

The next result handles the case of A_0 for irreducible module A over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

Lemma 7. *Let A be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$.*

- (a). *The module A_0 is irreducible over Vir iff A is not isomorphic to the natural module $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ over $\mathcal{K}$.*
- (b). *When $A = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ the natural $\mathcal{K}$ module, then $(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/\mathbb{C}$ is an irreducible module over Vir.*

Proof. (a). For any nonzero $u, v \in A$, we have $u \in \mathcal{K}v$. Denote

$$I_k = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] : \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ and } x = f\partial^k + \sum_{i>k} f_i\partial^i \text{ with } xv = 0\}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since A is irreducible over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$, $I_k \neq 0$ for some k . Let k_0 be the minimal non-negative integer k such that $I_k \neq 0$. Using $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]xv = 0$, $\partial xv = 0$ and $\partial f = f\partial + \partial(f)$ we see that I_{k_0} is a ∂ -invariant ideal of $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, yielding $I_{k_0} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Then $(1 + \sum_{i>0} f_i\partial^i)\partial^{k_0}v = 0$ for some $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$.

Case 1: $\partial^{k_0}v = 0$.

There exists nonzero $v' \in A$ such that $\partial v' = 0$. Then $A = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]v'$. We deduce that $\partial(t^k v') = kt^k v'$. It is easy to see that A is isomorphic to the natural module $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ over $\mathcal{K}$ which is not irreducible over Vir since it has a 1-dimensional Vir-submodule $\mathbb{C}$.

Case 2: $\partial^{k_0}v \neq 0$.

Let $v' = \partial^{k_0}v$. Since A is an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$, then $u \in \mathcal{K}v'$, say $u = (g_0 + \sum_{i>0} g_i\partial^i)v'$ for some $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. We see that $u \in g_0(1 + \sum_{i>0} f_i\partial^i)v' + U(\text{Vir})v' = U(\text{Vir})v' \subset U(\text{Vir})v$. Thus A_0 is an irreducible module over Vir.

(b). It is easy to see that $(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/\mathbb{C} = \text{span}\{t^k : k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$. The action is as follows:

$$d_k t^n = t^k \partial \cdot t^n = nt^{k+n}.$$

Thus $(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])/\mathbb{C}$ is exactly the irreducible module $V'_{0,0}$ in [KR]. $\square$

The next result handles the case of A_1 for irreducible module A over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$.

Lemma 8. *Let A be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then ∂A_1 is an irreducible Vir submodule of A_1 , and ∂A_1 is isomorphic to A_0 as modules over Vir if A_0 is irreducible, or isomorphic to $V'_{0,0}$ otherwise.*

Proof. Since $b = 1$, (3.5) becomes

$$(3.8) \quad d_n v = (t^n \partial + nt^n) v = \partial \cdot t^n \cdot v, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, v \in A.$$

We see that ∂A_1 is a Vir submodule of A_1 . For any $\partial v \in \partial A_1$, we have

$$d_n \partial v = (t^n \partial + nt^n) \partial v = \partial \cdot t^n \partial \cdot v.$$

If A_0 is irreducible (then $\partial(v) = 0$ implies $v = 0$ for any $v \in A$. See Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 7), it is easy to verify that the linear map

$$\varphi : \partial A_1 \rightarrow A_0, \quad \partial v \rightarrow v, \quad \forall v \in A$$

is a Vir module isomorphism.

If A_0 is not irreducible, from Lemma 7 then $A = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. It is easy to verify that the linear map

$$\varphi : \partial A_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]/\mathbb{C}, \quad \partial t^n \rightarrow t^n/n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

is a Vir module isomorphism. $\square$

Now we can summarize simplicity results as follows.

iso **Theorem 9.** *Suppose that $b \in \mathbb{C}$, and A is an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then A_b is irreducible as Vir module if and only if one of the following holds*

- (i). $b \neq 0$ or 1;
- (ii). $b = 1$ and $\partial A = A$;
- (iii). $b = 0$ and A is not isomorphic to the natural $\mathcal{K}$ module $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$.

Next we determine when two of Vir modules A_b are isomorphic.

iso-2 **Lemma 10.** *Suppose that $b, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ with $b \neq 0$ or 1, and A and B are irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then $A_b \cong B_{b_1}$ as Vir modules if and only if $b = b_1$ and $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules.*

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition is clear. Now suppose that $\mu : A_b \rightarrow B_{b_1}$ is a Vir module isomorphism. From lemma 5, for any $v \in A$ we have

$$b_1(b_1 - 1)t^k \mu(v) = w_k \mu(v) = \mu(w_k v) = b(b - 1)\mu(t^k v), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Taking $k = 0$ we obtain that $b(b - 1) = b_1(b_1 - 1)$. In particular, $b_1 \notin \{0, 1\}$. Noting that

$$\mathbf{t} \quad (3.9) \quad \mu(t^k v) = \mu\left(\frac{w_k}{b(b - 1)} v\right) = \frac{w_k}{b_1(b_1 - 1)} \mu(v) = t^k \mu(v).$$

we deduce that

$$\boxed{\text{tpartial}} \quad (3.10) \quad \mu(t^k \partial^j v) = t^k \mu(\partial^j v) = t^k \mu(d_0^j v) = t^k d_0^j \mu(v) = t^k \partial^j \mu(v).$$

So $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ module. From (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mu(d_k v) - d_k \mu(v) = \mu(t^k \partial v + k t^k b v) - (t^k \partial + k t^k b_1) \mu(v) \\ &= k(b - b_1) t^k \mu(v), \quad \forall v \in A, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $b = b_1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we consider the case $b, b_1 \in \{0, 1\}$.

$\boxed{\text{iso-3}}$ **Lemma 11.** *Suppose that A and B are irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then $A_0 \cong B_0$ as Vir modules if and only if $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules.*

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition is clear. Now suppose that $\mu : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is a Vir module isomorphism. Note that $d_0 = \partial$.

If $(\partial - k)v = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a nonzero $v \in A$, then $\partial(t^{-k}v) = 0$ where $t^{-k}v \neq 0$. From Case 1 of the proof of Lemma 7 we know that $A \cong \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, the natural $\mathcal{K}$ module. Similarly, from $(\partial - k)\mu(v) = 0$ in B , we deduce that $B \cong \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Thus $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules in this case.

Now suppose that $(\partial - k)$ is injective on both A and B .

Then for any $v \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\mu(t^k \partial v) = t^k \partial \mu(v)$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial - k)\mu(t^k v) &= \mu((\partial - k)t^k v) = \mu(t^k \partial v) = t^k \partial \mu(v) \\ &= ((\partial - k)t^k)\mu(v) = (\partial - k)(t^k \mu(v)), \end{aligned}$$

yielding that $\mu(t^k v) = t^k \mu(v)$ for all $v \in A$ and $v \in A$. Therefore $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules in this case also. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we can summarize isomorphism results as follows.

$\boxed{\text{iso}}$ **Theorem 12.** *Suppose that $b, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, and A and B are irreducible modules over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$. Then $A_b \cong B_{b_1}$ as Vir modules if and only if one of the following holds*

- (i). $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules, and $b = b_1$;
- (ii). $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules, $b = 1, b_1 = 0$ and $\partial A = A$;
- (iii). $A \cong B$ as $\mathcal{K}$ modules, $b = 0, b_1 = 1$ and $\partial B = B$.

$\boxed{\text{s4.1}}$

4. OLD AND NEW IRREDUCIBLE VIRASORO MODULES

4.1. Intermediate series modules. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Take $\beta = \partial - \alpha$ in Lemma 2. Then we have the irreducible $\mathcal{K}$ module

$$A = \mathcal{K}/(\mathcal{K} \cap (\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]\beta)) = \mathcal{K}/(\mathcal{K}\beta)$$

which has a basis $\{t^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where we have identified t^k with $t^k + \mathcal{K}$ (we will continue to do this later without mentioning). The actions of $\mathcal{K}$ are given by

$$\partial \cdot t^n = t^n(\alpha - n), \quad t^k \cdot t^n = t^{k+n}, \quad \forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (3.5) we obtain Vir modules $A_{\alpha,b} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ with the action:

$$d_k \cdot t^n = (\alpha + n + kb)t^{k+n}, \forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

These modules $A_{\alpha,b}$ are exactly the ones introduced and studied in Section 4 of the paper [LGZ]. When $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ these modules $A_{\alpha,b}$ are the intermediate series modules $V_{\alpha,b}$ in [KR].

s4.3

4.2. Fraction modules. Let $b, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $a_0 = 0$. In Lemma 2 take

$$\beta = \partial - \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{t - a_i}.$$

Then we have the irreducible $\mathcal{K}$ module

$$A = \mathcal{K} / (\mathcal{K} \cap (\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]\beta)) = \mathbb{C}[t, (t - a_i)^{-1} \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n].$$

The actions of $\mathcal{K}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \cdot f(t) &= \partial(f(t)) + f(t) \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{t - a_i}, \\ t^k \cdot f(t) &= t^k f(t), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in A. \end{aligned}$$

It is not very hard to verify that the Virasoro modules A_b are exactly the ones $V(b, \alpha, \gamma)$ introduced and studied in Section 2 of the paper [GLZ].

s4.1

4.3. Virasoro modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have the irreducible $\mathcal{K}$ module $\Omega(\lambda)$ which has a basis $\{\partial^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. The actions of $\mathcal{K}$ are given by

$$t^i \cdot \partial^k = \lambda^i (\partial - i)^k, \quad \partial \cdot \partial^k = \partial^{k+1}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (3.5) we obtain Vir modules $\Omega(\lambda, b) = \mathbb{C}[\partial]$ with the action:

$$(4.1) \quad d_n \cdot \partial^k = \lambda^n (\partial + n(b-1))(\partial - n)^k, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From Theorem 10 we know that the Vir modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ are irreducible if $b \neq 1$. It is easy to see that $\Omega(\lambda, 1)$ has an irreducible submodule $\partial\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ which is isomorphic to $\Omega(\lambda, 0)$.

The Virasoro modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$ are very similar to the highest-weight-like Virasoro modules $V(\xi, \lambda)$ defined in Section 3 of [GLZ]. Actually they are isomorphic.

iso-5

Lemma 13. *Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the Virasoro modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ and $V(\lambda, b-1)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let use ∂' to denote the operator $\partial = \frac{d}{dt}$ in [GLZ]. We know that $V(\lambda, b-1) = \mathbb{C}[d_{-1}]v$ where $\mathbb{C}[d_{-1}]$ is the polynomial algebra in d_{-1} with the properties:

$$(d_0 - \lambda d_{-1})v = \lambda v,$$

$$(d_1 - 2\lambda d_0 + \lambda^2 d_{-1})v = 0,$$

$$(d_2 - 3\lambda d_1 + 3\lambda^2 d_0 - \lambda^3 d_{-1})v = 0,$$

$$(d_{k-1} - \lambda^k d_{-1} - k\lambda^{k-1}(b-1))v = 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and these properties characterize the Virasoro module $V(\lambda, b-1)$. It is straightforward to verify that all the above properties are satisfied by the Virasoro module $\Omega(\lambda, b-1)$ with v replaced by 1. $\square$

We remark that in a recent preprint [TZ], it was proved that the tensor product of $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ ($b \neq 1$) with an irreducible highest weight module or with an irreducible module defined in [MZ3] is also an irreducible Virasoro module.

s4.4

4.4. Degree two modules. As we mentioned before, for a given element $f(t, \partial)$ in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ (which is a left principal ideal domain) it is generally very hard to know whether $f(t, \partial)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ or not (see the next three lemmas). We first construct some degree two irreducible elements in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$.

exam-4

Lemma 14. *Suppose that $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Then $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ iff $f(t)$ is not of the form*

$$(4.2) \quad h(t)^2 - \partial(h(t)) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(h(t) - h(a_i))}{t - a_i}$$

for any $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ satisfying

$$h(a_i) = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a_j}{a_i - a_j} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are distinct numbers.

Proof. Suppose $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. Then there exists $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ such that $\partial^2 - f(t) = (\partial - g_1)(\partial - g_2)$, to give $\partial^2 - f(t) = \partial^2 - (g_1 + g_2)\partial + g_1g_2 - \partial(g_2)$. We see that $g_2 = -g_1$ and $f = g_1^2 - \partial(g_1) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Write

$$g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} \frac{c_{i,j}}{(t - a_i)^j} + h(t),$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are pairwise distinct, $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. We may assume that for each i there exists j such that some $c_{i,j} \neq 0$. A straightforward computation implies that $c_{i,j} = 0$ for all $j > 1$ and $c_{i,1} = -a_i$. So

$$g_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-a_i}{t - a_i} + h(t).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} f = g_1^2 - \partial(g_1) &= h(t)^2 + \sum_{i>j} \frac{2a_i a_j}{(t-a_i)(t-a_j)} \\ &+ 2h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-a_i}{t-a_i} - \partial(h(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{t-a_i} \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} f - h(t)^2 + \partial(h(t)) &= \sum_{i>j} \frac{2a_i a_j}{(t-a_i)(t-a_j)} + 2(h(t) + \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-a_i}{t-a_i} \\ &= \sum_{i>j} \frac{2a_i a_j}{(a_i - a_j)} \left(\frac{1}{t-a_i} - \frac{1}{t-a_j} \right) + 2(h(t) + \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-a_i}{t-a_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left((-h(t) + 1/2) + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a_j}{a_i - a_j} \right) \frac{2a_i}{t-a_i} \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h(t)$ satisfies the condition

$$h(a_i) = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a_j}{a_i - a_j} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We simplify

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(- (h(t) + 1/2) + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{a_j}{a_i - a_j} \right) \frac{2a_i}{t-a_i} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(h(t) - h(a_i))}{t-a_i}.$$

We see that

$$f = h(t)^2 - \partial(h(t)) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(h(t) - h(a_i))}{t-a_i}.$$

The converse is clear from the above arguments. $\square$

Remark that the last term in (5.2) will disappear if $n = 0$.

Example 1. Take $n = 1, a_1 = 1, h(t) = t - 3/2$. Using Lemma 14 we obtain that $f(t) = t^2 - 4t + \frac{1}{4}$, and $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. But it is not hard to verify that $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathcal{K}$.

Example 2. From Lemma 15 we know that, if $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ with odd positive highest degree (or odd negative lowest degree) then $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. Certainly, in this case $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is automatically irreducible in $\mathcal{K}$.

Now let $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ be such that $\partial^2 - f(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. Take $\beta = \partial^2 - f(t)$ in Lemma 2. Then we have the irreducible $\mathcal{K}$ module

$$(4.3) \quad A = \mathcal{K} / (\mathcal{K} \cap (\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]\beta)) = \mathcal{K} / (\mathcal{K}\beta)$$

which has a basis $\{t^k, t^k \partial : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. (Remark that the second equality of the above equation is the reason why we have assumed that $f \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ instead of in $\mathbb{C}(t)$ in Lemma 14). The actions of $\mathcal{K}$ on A are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t^k \cdot t^n &= t^{k+n}, \quad t^k \cdot (t^n \partial) = t^{k+n} \partial, \\ \partial \cdot t^n &= t^n (\partial + n), \quad \partial \cdot (t^n \partial) = t^n (f(t) + n\partial), \forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5), for any $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ we obtain irreducible Vir modules $A_b = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \partial$ with the action:

$$\begin{aligned} d_k \cdot t^n &= t^{k+n} (n + kb + \partial), \\ d_k \cdot (t^n \partial) &= t^{k+n} (f(t) + kb + n\partial), \forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

As far as we know, these modules A_b just constructed are new irreducible Vir modules (also see Theorem 17).

The next result combining with Lemma 14 gives more irreducible elements in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ and more irreducible Virasoro modules.

Lemma 15. *Suppose that $f_1(t), f_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Then $\partial^2 + 2f_1\partial + f_2(t)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ iff $\partial^2 - (\partial(f_1) + f_1^2 - f_2)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the linear map $\tau : \mathbb{C}(t)[\partial] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$ defined by $(\sum_i g_i(t) \partial^i) = \sum_i g_i(t) (\partial - f_1)^i$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. We write $\partial^2 + 2f_1\partial + f_2(t) = (\partial + f_1(t))^2 - (\partial(f_1) + f_1^2 - f_2)$. Hence the lemma follows from the fact that $\tau(x)$ and x ($x \in \mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$) has the same irreducibility in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. $\square$

s4.4

4.5. Degree n modules. We first construct some degree n irreducible elements in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$.

exam-4

Lemma 16. *For any nonconstant polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, the element $f(\frac{d}{dt}) - t \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}][\partial]$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$.*

Proof. Let J be the left ideal generated by $f(\frac{d}{dt}) - t$ in the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]$. Then the $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]$ module $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]/J$ has a basis $\{(\frac{d}{dt})^i | i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ with the action

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^i &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{i+1}, \\ t \cdot \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^i &= -i \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{i-1} + f\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^i. \end{aligned}$$

For any nonzero submodule V of $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]/J$, it is easy to see that V is an ideal of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[\frac{d}{dt}]$. For any $g(\frac{d}{dt}) \in V$, from $-t \cdot g(\frac{d}{dt}) \in V$ we see that

$$-t \cdot g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) = [-t, g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)] - g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)t = g'\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) - f\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)g\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) \in V,$$

yielding $g'(\frac{d}{dt}) \in V$. We deduce that $1 \in V$ and consequently $V = \mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]/J$. Hence $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]/J$ is a simple module over the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]$. Note that $\mathbb{C}[t][\frac{d}{dt}]/J$ is $\mathbb{C}[t]$ torsion-free. Therefore $\mathbb{C}(t)[\frac{d}{dt}](f(\frac{d}{dt}) - t)$ is a maximal left ideal of $\mathbb{C}(t)[\frac{d}{dt}]$. Thus $f(\frac{d}{dt}) - t$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]$. $\square$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take $\beta = (\frac{d}{dt})^n - t$ in Lemma 2. Then we have the irreducible $\mathcal{K}$ module

$$A = \mathcal{K}/(\mathcal{K} \cap (\mathbb{C}(t)[\partial]\beta)) = \mathcal{K}/(\mathcal{K}\beta)$$

which has a basis $\{t^k(\frac{d}{dt})^m : k \in \mathbb{Z}, m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. The actions of $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}][(\frac{d}{dt})]$ are given by

$$t^k \cdot (t^r(\frac{d}{dt})^m) = t^{k+r}(\frac{d}{dt})^m, \forall k, r \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq m \leq n-1,$$

$$(\frac{d}{dt}) \cdot (t^r(\frac{d}{dt})^s) = rt^{r-1}(\frac{d}{dt})^s + t^r(\frac{d}{dt})^{s+1}, \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq s < n-1,$$

$$(\frac{d}{dt}) \cdot (t^k(\frac{d}{dt})^{n-1}) = rt^{r-1}(\frac{d}{dt})^{n-1} + t^{r+1}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using (3.5), for any $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ we obtain irreducible Vir modules $A_b = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}](\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(\frac{d}{dt})^i)$ with the action:

$$d_k \cdot (t^r(\frac{d}{dt})^s) = (rt^{k+r-1} + bkt^{k+r})(\frac{d}{dt})^s + t^{k+r}(\frac{d}{dt})^{s+1}, \forall k, r \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$d_k \cdot (t^r(\frac{d}{dt})^{n-1}) = (rt^{k+r-1} + bkt^{k+r})(\frac{d}{dt})^{n-1} + t^{k+r+1}, \forall k, r \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $0 \leq s < n-1$.

For different β (different n), when we consider the $\mathcal{K}$ modules A as $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ modules they are not isomorphic since they are free $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ modules of rank n . From Theorem 12 we know that we have obtained many non-isomorphic irreducible Virasoro modules in this way. As far as we know, these modules A_b are new irreducible Vir modules (also see Theorem 17).

We like to conclude this paper by comparing the Virasoro modules A_b with other known irreducible Virasoro modules. It is not hard to see that Virasoro weight modules of the form A_b are the intermediate series modules $V_{\alpha, \beta}$ in [KR] for which A is $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -torsion. If A is a $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -torsion irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$, then A_b are the modules $\Omega(\lambda, b)$ in Sect.4.3. The following result handles the remaining cases.

Comp

Theorem 17. *Suppose that $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, and A is an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}$ which is $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -torsion-free and $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ -torsion-free. Then A_b (except for those in Sect.4.2) are new Virasoro modules.*

Proof. All other known non-weight Virasoro modules are from [LGZ, GLZ, MZ3, MW]. We have already compared with those in [LGZ, GLZ]. Let us recall those modules in [MZ3]. Let $\text{Vir}_+ = \text{span}\{d_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Given $N \in \text{Vir}_+\text{-mod}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the corresponding induced module $\text{Ind}(N) := U(\text{Vir}) \otimes_{U(\text{Vir}_+)} N$ and denote by $\text{Ind}_\theta(N)$ the module $\text{Ind}(N)/(\mathfrak{c} - \theta)\text{Ind}(N)$. It was proved that $V = \text{Ind}_\theta(N)$ is irreducible over Vir if $d_k N = 0$ for all sufficiently large k . For any $v \in V$ we have $d_k v = 0$ for all sufficiently large k . But this property cannot be shared by A_b because of (3.5) since t^k are bijective on A for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The statement in the theorem follows.

Now we compare our module A_b with the irreducible Virasoro modules $W = \text{Ind}_{\theta, z}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{m}})$ defined in [MW], where $\theta, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying the conditions

$$(4.4) \quad zm_3 \neq m_4, 2zm_2 \neq m_3, 3zm_3 \neq 2m_4, z^2m_2 + m_4 \neq 2zm_3.$$

From the definition of W , there exists a nonzero vector $v \in W$ such that

$$(d_2 - zd_1 - m_2)v = 0, (d_3 - z^2d_1 - m_3)v = 0, (d_4 - z^3d_1 - m_4)v = 0$$

yielding that

$$(d_3 - zd_2 + zm_2 - m_3)v = 0, (d_4 - zd_3 + zm_3 - m_4)v = 0.$$

If $A_b \simeq W$, there exists nonzero $u \in A_b$ such that

$$(d_3 - zd_2 + zm_2 - m_3)u = 0, (d_4 - zd_3 + zm_3 - m_4)u = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$t^2(t - z)\partial + bt^2(3t - 2z) + zm_2 - m_3)u = 0,$$

$$t^3(t - z)\partial + bt^3(4t - 3z) + zm_3 - m_4)u = 0.$$

We obtain that

$$(bt^3(t - z) - t(zm_2 - m_3) + (zm_3 - m_4))u = 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{C}[t]$ is torsion-free on A_b , we deduce that $zm_3 = m_4$ which is a contradiction to (4.4). Therefore $A_b \not\simeq W$. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The second author is partially supported by NSF of China and NSERC. We thank Hongjia Chen, and Xiangqian Guo for their comments on the original version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [Bl] R. Block; The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and of the Weyl algebra. *Adv. in Math.* **139** (1981), no. 1, 69–110.
- [FF] B. Feigin, D. Fuks; Verma modules over a Virasoro algebra. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **17** (1983), no. 3, 91–92.
- [FJK] E. Felinska, Z. Jaskolski, M. Kosztolowicz; Whittaker pairs for the Virasoro algebra and the Gaiotto-Bonelli-Maruyoshi-Tanzini states. *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2012), 033504.
- [FMS] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [GO] P. Goddard, D. Olive, "Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to quantum physics", *Intemat. J. Mod. Phys. A*, (1986), 303-414.
- [GLZ] X. Guo, R. Lü, K. Zhao; Fraction representations and highest-weight-like representations of the Virasoro algebra. Preprint, 2010.
- [IK] K. Iohara, Y. Koga; Representation theory of the Virasoro algebra. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.
- [IKU] T. Inami, H. Kanno, T. Ueno, *Higher-dimensional WZW model on Kahler manifold and toroidal Lie algebra*, *Mod. Phys. Lett A* 12(1997), 2757-2764.
- [IKUX] T. Inami, H. Kanno, T. Ueno, C.-S. Xiong, *Two toroidal Lie algebra as current algebra of four dimensional Kahler WZW model*, *Phys. Lett B*, 399(1997), 97-104.
- [K] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edition*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [KR] V. Kac, A. Raina; Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*, **2**. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky, H. Li *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Birkhauser, 2004.
- [LGZ] R. Lü, X. Guo, K. Zhao; Irreducible modules over the Virasoro algebra. *Doc. Math.* **16** (2011), 709–721.
- [Mt] O. Mathieu; Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra. *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), no. 2, 225–234.
- [MW] V. Mazorchuk, E. Weisner, Simple virasoro modules induced from codimension one subalgebras of the positive part, arXiv:1209.1691v1.
- [MZ1] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao; Classification of simple weight Virasoro modules with a finite-dimensional weight space. *J. Algebra* **307** (2007), no. 1, 209–214.
- [MZ3] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao; Simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part. arXiv:1205.5937v1.
- [MoP] R. V. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decompositions*, *Canad. Math. Soc., Ser. Mono. Adv. Texts*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1995.
- [OW1] M. Ondrus, E. Wiesner; Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra. *J. Algebra Appl.* **8** (2009), no. 3, 363–377.
- [OW2] M. Ondrus, E. Wiesner; Whittaker categories for the Virasoro algebra. Preprint arXiv:1108.2698.
- [TZ] H. Tan, K. Zhao; Irreducible Virasoro modules from tensor products. preprint, 2012.
- [Ya] S. Yanagida; Whittaker vectors of the Virasoro algebra in terms of Jack symmetric polynomial. *J. Algebra* **333** (2011), 273–294.
- [Zh] H. Zhang; A class of representations over the Virasoro algebra. *J. Algebra* **190** (1997), no. 1, 1–10.

R.L.: Department of Mathematics, Suzhou university, Suzhou 215006, Jiangsu, P. R. China. Email: rencail@amss.ac.cn

K.Z.: Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3C5, and College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal (Teachers) University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050016 P. R. China. Email: kzhao@wlu.ca